On Tuesday I posted a question on Twitter (prompted by a remark by @bowmanthebard). The question involved a version of the trolley problem, in which one has to choose between letting die and actively killing, but with the twist that the motive for choosing the active option is not to minimize loss of life (in this version it might actually increase it) but to preserve a life especially dear to one.

As the question generated some interest, I thought I would set out the thought experiment in more detail here. Another Twitter user @gjfitzgerald described my question as evil, since there is no way to answer it without guilt, so I call it The Evil Trolley Problem (not a perfect name, I admit, since it's ambiguous). I'm not an ethicist, and I don't know if this particular scenario has been previously discussed in the literature (if it has, I'd be grateful for references), though I'm sure the underlying issues have. My tweet provoked a number of responses - some darkly humorous - which I have collated with Storify.

Here is the problem:

You are walking by the railway line, where a group of local children are playing. Suddenly, there is a shout and you see that a runaway trolley is thundering down the track. You run to warn the children and
see that one child is trapped on the line. With horror you realize that it is your own child. The trolley will
certainly kill your child if you do not act. Luckily, you are close to the points, and by operating a manual
lever you can divert the trolley onto another track. But as you grasp the lever, you notice that another
child, not known to you, is trapped on the other track. If you pull the lever, the trolley will certainly kill
them.

What would you do? There are only seconds left and there is no other option. Would you sacrifice an
unknown child to save your own? If you would switch the trolley, would do the same if it it would result
in more deaths? What if there were two children trapped on the other line or if there were a school bus
stalled there? How many children would you sacrifice to save your own child?

The scenario is of interest because what most of us would do in the imagined situation is in contrast to
what moral theory tells us we should do. I suspect that most of us would switch the trolley, even if it
would result in many deaths. Yet I doubt if there are many moral theories that would dictate that
course, or even judge it permissible, and most legal systems would, I assume, class it as murder. This
in turn raises wider questions about how far moral theory should bend to human nature, and how we
can reconcile our intense preference for our kin with our ideals of altruism and egalitarianism.
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